## Minnesota Scholars of Distinction in Theater Arts Acting Rubric

Evaluators will use this rubric as they evaluate applicant showcase presentations, materials, and interviews.

	Level 4 – Exemplary	Level 3 – Excellent	Level 2 – Good	Level 1 – Emerging
Monologue 1 (1-2 minutes)	Communicates expressively with voice and body, illuminating the	Communicates clearly with voice and body, expressing the life and	Expresses the life and world of the character with voice and body.	Inconsistent expression of character. Lacks vocal variety and physical
From published plays; one over 100 years old and one with the past 100 years	life and world of the character. Demonstrates an exceptional level of stage presence and believability.	world of the character.  Demonstrates an appropriate level of presence and believability.	Usually demonstrates presence and believability.	involvement.  Lacks presence and believability.
Monologue 2 or Song (1-2 minutes)  Contrasting piece in	Communicates expressively with voice and body, illuminating the life and world of the character.	Communicates clearly, expressing the life and world of the character.	Expresses the life and world of the character.	Inconsistent expression of character.
period, genre, and/or character	Demonstrates an exceptional level of believability.	Demonstrates an appropriate level of believability.	Usually demonstrates believability.	Lacks believability.
	Clearly contrasts with Monologue 1.	Contrasts with Monologue 1.	Somewhat different from Monologue 1.	Does not clearly contrast with Monologue 1.
Two-Person Scene (5-8 minutes)	Exceptional illumination of character and world of the play.	Appropriate illumination of character and world of the play.	Good attempt to convey character and world of the play.	Does not effectively convey character and world of the play.
From a published play	Seamless collaboration with other actor. Outstanding level of performance ability and technique.	Effective collaboration with other actor. Appropriate level of performance ability and technique.	Some collaboration evident. Inconsistent level of performance ability and technique.	Limited collaboration evident. Minimal level of performance ability and technique.

## Minnesota Scholars of Distinction in Theater Arts Acting Rubric

Evaluators will use this rubric as they evaluate applicant showcase presentations, materials, and interviews.

Research Journal and Support Materials	Well-articulated statement of artistic vision.	Clear statement of artistic vision.	Statement of artistic vision.	Artistic vision unclear.
Written journal including artistic vision; character analyses; and historical, cultural, ethical research. Typed and double-spaced.	Insightful analysis of each character portrayed. Comprehensive research materials, including historical, cultural, and ethical frameworks of plays and/or characters.	Careful analysis of each character portrayed. Research materials including historical, cultural, and ethical frameworks of plays and/or characters.	Some analysis of each character portrayed. Research materials included scattered historical, cultural, and ethical frameworks of plays and/or characters.	Limited character analysis.  Lacks research materials.
Interview  Discuss your choices and preparation process, reflect on past and present theatrical and educational experiences, and articulate goals for the future	Insightful discussion of involvement and goals in theater.  Well-articulated description of artistic vision and process.  Responds with creative ingenuity to questions.	Good discussion of involvement and goals in theater.  Fine description of artistic vision and process.  Responds clearly to questions.	Some ability to discuss involvement and goals in theater.  Describes artistic vision and process.  Responds to questions.	Limited discussion of involvement and goals in theater.  Does not describe artistic vision or process.  Does not respond clearly to questions.